

## EPA Official Record

---

**Notes ID:** 98A0812A994E635E85257869005490B2

**From:** Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US

**To:** "Rigassio-Smith, Anita" <Anita.Rigassio-Smith@jacobs.com>

**Delivered Date:** 07/28/2010 11:16 AM EDT

**Subject:** RE: Upper Harbor CAD Cell Volume Need Estimate

Hi Anita - I'd continue using the volumes with the overdredge allowances from the [2003] Foster Wheeler report.

Thanks - Dave

p.s. - thanks so much for the getting the revised cost estimate out ahead of schedule! I'm reviewing it right now, I'm sure I'll have a few questions for you at some point. DD

▼ "Rigassio-Smith, Anita" ---07/28/2010 10:18:28 AM---Hi Dave, We are almost finished with the calculation of volume remaining. For the MUs

From: "Rigassio-Smith, Anita" <Anita.Rigassio-Smith@jacobs.com>

To: Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 07/28/2010 10:18 AM

Subject: RE: Upper Harbor CAD Cell Volume Need Estimate

---

Hi Dave,

We are almost finished with the calculation of volume remaining. For the MUs where we have not dredged yet do you want us to use volume without the overdredge allowance or with? For the cost estimates we have been using the volume including the overdredge allowance.

Anita

-----Original Message-----

From: dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, June 25, 2010 4:26 PM

To: Fox, Steve (New Bedford); paul.g.l'heureux@usace.army.mil; Maryellen.Iorio@usace.army.mil; Mark.J.Anderson.Jr@usace.army.mil; Gouveia, Mark; Rigassio-Smith, Anita

Cc: stanley.elainet@epamail.epa.gov; thomas.j.fredette@usace.army.mil

Subject: Fw: Upper Harbor CAD Cell Volume Need Estimate

All - I know with dredging season upon us you have your hands full, but for a couple of different reasons we need to start pulling together some information on the amount of sediments removed to date per DMU (as defined by FW in 2003 rather than by the dredge areas used by the dredging team). See Tom's email below. Comparing these volumes to the original estimated volumes by FW will help us understand if the 2003 total volume estimate is biased low or not (an important consideration for the ongoing settlement negotiations). This info will also be used for the upcoming UHCC modeling.

We can discuss further next week if need be.

Thanks - Dave

----- Forwarded by Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US on 06/25/2010 04:17 PM -----

From: "Fredette, Thomas ERD" <Thomas.J.Fredette@usace.army.mil>

To: Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 06/07/2010 11:43 AM

Subject: Upper Harbor CAD Cell Volume Need Estimate

<<Dredging Completed Fig 1-1 2004-2007.pdf>> Dave,

The attached is one of the pieces of information I relied on as part of my estimate of remaining dredging volume for the lower CAD cell report, however, by this time things have changed. Can you check with Jacobs to find out what information might be available to estimate remaining volume by DMU? Updated graphics? Dredging volume records, etc. Perhaps they've already made some calculations, but if not, whatever information they have would be useful and I'll work with that. It would also be good to see if there are any data on estimated volume removed from DMUs compared to the original estimates in the Foster Wheeler report. If the actual volumes are consistently greater than those

estimates, that will be a factor we will need to consider.

Thanks,

Tom(See attached file: Dredging Completed Fig 1-1 2004-2007.pdf)

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.